

25

The internationalization of Business Studies: a comparative framework between the European Higher Education System and the Chinese one

Bill-Bin Pan¹

Jorge Fleta²

Sophie-Fang Pan³

¹ Inner Mongolia University, China.

^{2,3} Zaragoza University, Spain

panb@imfec.edu.cn

jorge.fleta@unizar.es

The internationalization of Business Studies: a comparative framework between the European Higher Education System and the Chinese one

Bill-Bin Pan¹

Jorge Fleta²

Sophie-Fang Pan³

1 Inner Mongolia University, China.

2,3 Zaragoza University, Spain

panb@imfec.edu.cn

jorge.fleta@unizar.es

The business studies in the European Higher Education System will have to converge in similar frameworks to make students match their country-origin studies. Most of them will not have a traumatic adaptation due to the long tradition of teaching similar contents with the Anglo-Saxon references and similar methodologies. Also, in Europe, according to many typologies of institutions which can be analysed, Universities and Business Schools appear to be two different institutions with different methodologies and goals for their students.

It seems that in the People's Republic of China similar programs have also been taught since the early 90's because of the obvious influence of the Higher Education of Europe and the U.S.A., however, it tends to be evident that wide differences exist as well among Universities and other higher institutions such as International Business Schools with exchange programs with the rest of the world. Furthermore, those studies and teaching processes are influenced by idiosyncrasies of Chinese teaching tradition and their lack of experience for being institution. Meanwhile, at most of Chinese Universities more specific programs are inclined to be followed without so comparable one-to-one relationship, compared with their equivalents in Europe. However, the rapid economic growth and the internationalization of some Special Economic Zones in China make them look more similar to studies in Europe. Because of these reasons, fewer standardized and similarities are found in Business studies programs in China.

Some specific characteristics in teaching Business studies in China are found to be special phenomena for future research; most of them appear to be influenced by Chinese culture and to be resulted from the policy of "closed door" of the country and the gradual opening the door of China. At the same time, similar characteristics are found between the two systems, too.

The authors are grateful to professor's staff from Inner Mongolia Finance and Economics College. Also, to Domingo Pérez and Marisa Ramírez from Zaragoza University for their encouragement.

1 The role and context of business education

It is well accepted that education has both economic and social influences in development (Fukase, 2010). On one hand, education is one of the basic pillars necessary for economic modern growth (Pueyo-Balldellou and Sanso-Frago, 2005; Mulligan and Sala-i-Martin, 2000) and national competitiveness (World Economic Forum, 2009). On the other hand, constitutes one of the basic factors in which the human development degree is based¹ (United Nations, 2009). In consequence, education is revealed as a cross factor which increases welfare at different levels and generate positive externalities in its environment (McMahon, 2007; Zhang et al 2009).

The education can land from its ethereal concept, being adapted in fields and contents to the current social and economic requirements in each period (Nelson, 1980), generally becoming more specific and sophisticated along the time (Gao et al 2002). For instance, engineer studies were created after Industrial Revolution demanded because of the need of specialized knowledge; or later, computer engineer studies appeared when the development of electronics improved. Along the time, specific and technical knowledge in human capital are revealed necessary for some economic activities (Millman et al 2008; Suzigan et al 2009; Rasiah and Govindaraju, 2009).

In our actual historic context, the last two decades until today are characterized by the acceleration of the globalization phenomenon (Ramírez-Alesón, 2004), which can be defined as a broad process of eradicating unnecessary restrictions to global commerce (Park and Vambery, 2010), integrating the national economies in a unique market (Alcalde-Fradejas et al 2003). Global markets, multinational enterprises and interdependent world economies will characterize the 21st century (Chang, 2002). The main actors of this process are multinational companies (Anwar, 2010) which finally and according to the upper echelon theory, will extend their worldwide operations according to the top management team decisions (Chuang et al 2009).

As consequence, globalization poses a challenge not only to corporate practice, but also to business education received by future managers as the main decision maker actors in the process (Glaum and Rinker, 2002). Corporations are in need of highly mobile, open-minded and culturally adaptive graduates (Glaum and Rinker, 2002). International business education given to the future managers plays a key role in the quest to keep up with the rapid pace of globalization in business and industry (Aggarwal et al 2008).

The Business Studies are being internationalized in two directions. The first one is that the contents and structure of the studies are being implemented in many countries as model following an ideological mainstream thinking, basically Anglo-Saxon capitalism (Dore, 2006; Wong, 2009). According to Mintzberg's (1979) coordination mechanisms in organizations, the standardization of studies facilitates the coordination of activities in companies because specific skills and knowledge

¹ The Human Development Index is a composite index composed from statistics from life expectancy, education and gross domestic product.

for each study are supposed. When one company needs specific human resources for positions in another country, it knows what kind of profile should demand because of similar programs are followed in many countries and can cover the managerial needs they have. In organizational perspective the internationalization of studies, and especially business and management studies, would have an accelerator effect in the globalization process.

The second direction is that, due to similarities in studies and the need of collective mindset for the decision makers, students can exchange to different places having richer academic and internship experiences (Parker and Heriot, 2009). The global mindset is one of the key parameters in the successful internationalization of small and medium-sized companies (Nummela, 2004). Also, internationalization processes of companies are influenced by the prior international experience of their managers (Vermeulen and Shvyrkov, 2007). Skills related to tacit knowledge necessary in international environment -like cross cultural vision- are difficult to be learnt without staying, studying or working in another cultural environment. The internationalization of business studies in the sense of making students able to have experiences in other centres, environments or countries can help to develop these needs.

According to the two directions pointed before, there is an internationalization of the studies in itself along the world, and an internationalization of the institutions with exchange of the students in different countries (Glaum and Rinker, 2002).

Business studies can be followed in different educational levels and institutions, which use different descriptors for their centres, such as middle schools, high schools (e.g. InHolland University), Institutes (e.g. Institute of Management Sciences in Pakistan), Business Schools (e.g. London Business School) or Universities (e.g. Zaragoza University). Sometimes, even the same institutions can offer different programs with similar contents but different directions: professional orientation or academic orientation (e.g. Reims Management School).

Also some countries use the term University only for all institutes of higher education (e.g. Britain), while other countries offer a variety of names for institutions of higher education (e.g. Germany, France, The Netherlands). And whilst many countries have institutions called business schools most German, French and Italian business education takes place in “management”, “economics” or “accounting” departments, “chairs” or “faculties” (Matten y Moon, 2004). In consequence, the place and program where business studies as label are taken can be misunderstood due to the specific context of the term in different places.

In spite of all this apparent disorder, all of them have the same link: contents related to business and management. Also they can be comparable and grouped because of sharing the way to access to their programs, for having similar contents or structure working, same objectives or methodologies.

That is way, most of business programs can be conceptualized in a practical point of view with Business Schools, and in a more academic perspective with Universities (Urgel, 2007). Because of their final orientation and similarities within each group, both normally compete in rankings separated (e.g. Academic Ranking of World Universities from Shanghai Jiao Tong University or Business Schools in Financial Times). Using this separation, they can segment their market signaling their position for students. Students' expectations can match better their expectations with the right program, because asymmetric information between offers and demanders is decreased. Also, both of them are institutions oriented to teach in Higher Education, with contents related to business and management and they are increasing their internationalization presence in the world.

It cannot be forgotten that western Universities, especially Europe with the European Higher Education System, are increasing their exchange programs to be able to follow studies in many different countries. At the same time, Business Schools not only try to recruit international students from everywhere in one location (like a local MBA in Business School), but also they expand some branches to other countries (e.g. Insead in Singapore; Instituto de Empresa in New York and in short term in Africa), or they use exchange programs in cooperation with other institutions to offer international presence to the students (e.g. Reims Management School). Rankings of business schools increasingly give weight to internationalization as one of the relevant criteria (Glaum and Rinker, 2002).

There is a challenge in this internationalization process. Theories about both management and its practice differ significantly among countries and cultures (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005; Tchäicha and Davis, 2005). That is way, the internationalization of studies can find difficulties to match each other between Western and Asian countries, due to the different way to process information (Graham and Lam, 2003), methodology to research (Kashima, 2005) or ethics in Business (Crittenden et al 2008; Mihalek et al 2009; Smith, 2009) among some reasons. Transferring management knowledge is more complex than transferring physical technologies across borders, because the first ones are less codified and even more interdependent with the social context (Newell, 1999).

Among all of national cultures in the world, the cultural blocks can be defined as relatively homogeneous groups of countries having less within-variance in terms of culture when compared within the differences between cultural blocks (Vermeulen and Shvyrkov, 2007). In this global background Chinese and Western blocks can be analysed as big two groups due to their similarities within group and their differences between both (Onesimo, 2009). It should be considered that Western teaching and learning approaches are not always successful in the Chinese context and must be adapted to cultural differences (Parnell et al, 2003).

This convenient conceptualization is important because of several reasons. Firstly, the number of joint ventures between Chinese and Western firms, as well as the number of foreign-owned enterprises operating in China has increased dramatically in the last decade (Parnell et al 2003). Second the demand of westerner management theories is increasing in China becoming the world's largest new market for management education in the next few decades (Thompson, 2002). And finally, China constitutes the biggest potential market for goods and services in the world (Newell, 1999).

Because of this, internationalization process of studies can have several perspectives. The first one is the historical expanding process of both kinds of institutions, which can explain the actual educational model and mainstream of business knowledge. The second one, the educational factors to take into account for adapting business studies in different locations, which could explain the expansion and success of the programs in different environments.

This paper analyzes briefly the history and diffusion of business studies in Europe and in China. Also, cultural and historical factors will be exposed as key factors which influence the learning process in Western and Asian perspective. Both factors arise as key factors necessary to understand the expansion, design and future of the international business education programs.

2 The historical perspective of business education in Europe and China

2.1 Business education in Europe

The origin of business studies is not so clear if what is understood by the term is not specified, and the context analyzed is delimited.

There are evidences in different publications and testimonies, about the existence of disseminated business courses in Europe since 1473 in Venice (Italy), University of Ingolstadt (Germany) in 1515, or University of Halle (Germany) in 1727 to mention some of the first ones (Taylor, 2007).

According to those dates, in *stricto sensu* business studies could appear even before the Economics as Science was officially founded in 1776 by Adam Smith publishing "*The wealth of nations*". As is obvious, this incongruity can be understood because Sciences are constituted after existing a critical mass of information necessary to create an own corpus knowledge. This stage, before the science appears, is labelled "prescience" period by the epistemologist and historian of Science Thomas Kuhn (1922-1996). It does not mean that were not events well documented and related to business Science before its creation (e.g. the double entry bookkeeping by Luca Pacioli in 1494²), or prior behaviours which can be analyzed in economic perspective hundreds of years

² With the publication of *Summa the arithmetica, geometrica, proportioni et proportionalita*.

after their existence (e.g. the bartering and its transition to mint coins, the creation of moneylenders and so on.).

To specificate the context analysed, this paper will focus on Business Studies in Higher Education, therefore it looks logic to analyze those studies after the higher institutions appear and they constitute a main group of knowledge to be taught, instead casual or optional courses related to other disciplines such us cameral studies in Germany or administration courses in engineer or mathematics studies in France.

In this perspective normally is accepted, according to the historical narrative, that the Wharton School of Finance and Economy at the University of Pennsylvania in 1881 was the first example of Higher Education in everywhere, and then its model was extended to the rest of the world (Taylor, 2007).

Other authors however, consider the HEC School of Management, founded in Paris in 1881, as one of the pioneers of European institutions of higher business education (Amdam, 2008). Taylor (2007) thinks that the oldest existing school offering Business Higher Education was the *École Supérieure de Commerce* de Paris in 1819. Although other authors as Locke (2008) think that the *Grandes Écoles* founded by Napoleon were not at that time a higher education centres as we understand actually.

The lack of consensus among researchers about the origin and first higher business education still exists.

In Europe, German Business Schools were created by 1900 and later would be spreader into Scandinavia, the Netherlands, eastern central Europe, Turkey, Italy, Spain and Japan (Locke, 2008).

This expansion process took another direction in Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, because business studies were highly politized from the two first decades of the 20th century till the collapse of Soviet Union in 1991 ³(Evans and Birch, 1995).

In communist countries, business History broke their ideological, intellectual and physical links with western capitalism (Fear, 2000). Considering the same similar politic regimes, comments about education in the Soviet Union are applicable to Eastern Europe (Evans and Birch, 1995). In those countries normally the courses taught where linked to quantitative and technical aspects of Economics (e.g. accounting, finance and management science), while the ones more related to behaviour or ideology (e.g. human resources, resources management, marketing, business policy) were less extended and familiar for students (Sexty, 1998).

In consequence, the adaptation and history of Business Education in Europe varies widely depending on the country and was influenced by polarized politic ideas. For instance, Poland

³ Soviet Union officially goes from 1922 until 1991

during the socialist period Universities were heavily politicized and designed to support central planning and communist ideology, delaying the start of Business Schools until 1990s, where students could choose between Economics of Capitalism and Economics of Socialism (Leven, 2010).

It looks logic that in the Eastern Europe the adaptation of North American Curricula was later than in the north of Europe and Mediterranean countries. For instance, in Spain one of the first institutions to offer business studies was established in the Universidad Comercial de Deusto in Bilbao in 1916, although the first American-style school would be opened later in 1955 in Madrid (Escuela de Organización Industrial) (Puig, 2008).

This separation was not casual. As it can be seen in Figure I, Universities and business schools would differentiate to each other using different methods and approaches. Because of the introduction of scientific and academic goals at an early stage in the German schools, the business communities in Europe that evolved within the German tradition were better prepared for becoming academic institutions due to the early introduction of basic scientific principles in their business schools (Amdam, 2008).

Figure I. General differences between Universities and Schools in teaching Business and Management

Public Universities	Private Business Schools
Internship as an option of the Curriculum	Internships as a component of the Curriculum
Blackboard system and analytical skills	Case Studies and simulations
Bigger groups	Smaller groups
Individual work	Team group work
Promote managers and researchers	Promote entrepreneurs
Lower fees	Higher fees
Theoretical orientation	Hand-on orientation
Admissions by results	Admissions by paying
Fewer corporate connections	More corporate connections
Multiple choice evaluation as a consequence of large classes	Essay questions and public exposition evaluation

Source: Based on Lewis (1992), Wong (2008) and Hergert (2009).

In the case of Business Schools, most of them due to their similarities share some characteristics such as corporate connections or similar programs, and the top ones use international accreditations like EQUIS in order to internationalize and make them comparable (Urgel, 2007). American business schools had few imitators globally before 1940 (Locke, 2008). However, actually the majority of these studies is focused on North American Schools (Matten and Moon, 2004) and is being internationalized.

This current tendency will have to match with another direction taken in the last two decades, when business studies are being modernized and harmonized in Europe because of Bologna Declaration in 1999. The objective is to make the Universities contribute to economic growth and competitiveness, improving the performance of universities respect to education, research and knowledge transfer (Van der Ploeg and Veugelers, 2008).

The European Union will have to converge in a similar model of business education among their Universities, which in the last decades is highly influenced by USA models. In case they finally adopt USA models, some adaptations will have to do because the, European higher institutions are characterized by less total investment in higher education compared with USA. Also, in case European Universities harmonize their own model of business education, some aspects as tuition fees have great differences in European countries, although most of them have public funds.

2.2 Business education in China

It is remarkable the existence of some indigenous Chinese and writing texts related to strategy, leadership and management since thousand of years ago. Confucius in “*The Analects*” describes the characteristics which should have the leaders in organizations considering the wealthfare of employees, teamwork and self-sacrifice (Bettingies and Tan, 2007; Rarick, 2009). In addition, militaries and business leaders in China have been studying for generations the manuscript “*The Art of War*” written by Sun Tzu (6th century BC), where the importance of flexibility in strategy formulation is discussed (Rarick, 2009).

In spite of this, Chinese business education was disseminated during the 20th century in more formal way. Chinese entrepreneurs and managers were exposed to modern westerner management ideas from the early twentieth century, with the translation of Taylor’s ideas by Xu in 1915, and Taylor’s complete book “*The Principles of Scientific Management*” (1911) by Mu in 1916 (Morgan, 2006).

Later, the first management school in China would be established at the Shanghai Jiaotong University in 1929 (Southworth, 1999). It was paradoxically founded during the Chinese civil war between (1911-1949), although the period between 1928 and 1937, was characterized by the stability of Nanjing decade (Morgan, 2006). This school and other similar which appeared in China would not continue in the same direction. They reoriented their activities to focus on training planners and macro-managers in finance and economics, in order to serve the needs of the state (Southworth, 1999).

During those years between 1949 and 1975 the economic system in China was centrally planned. In general, in that period, main part of enterprise management personnel were cadres transferred from military services (Wang, 1999). Although in the 1950s they gave them more

specific knowledge, when Chinese managers were sent to the USSR for training and then had little to do with the “west” (Wong, 2008). Some years later, between 1978 and 1987, main part of enterprise management personnel were promoted from technicians and engineers working at the enterprises (Wang, 1999).

In communist party period was no place for private education institutions, being educational policy making, curriculum design and teaching, school finance and personal management all centrally controlled (Lin, 1999). It is comprehensible that committed communists might feel that allowing modern management theory to be taught in China would, over time, dissipate the communist convictions of the younger generation (Southworth, 1999). Educational system played an important role in social change because it can support or undermine social institutions and values (Evans and Birch, 1995).

The central planned economy means demand was estimated at the centre and production units. Financial performance was irrelevant because surplus revenues had to be handed back to the state. And personnel were directed assigned according to their studies or allocation to a work unit (Southworth, 1999). The state allocated raw materials, coordinated production and distributed finished goods for the whole country. All the investment plans had to be approved by the state, and entrepreneurial activities were discouraged with not so much chances for individual initiatives (Newell, 1999).

Under the Chinese planned economy, there was no need for trained managers, and for teaching fields related to marketing, financial controls or human resource management (Southworth, 1999).

However, everything changed after Mao’s death (1976), and especially with the economic reform led by Deng Xiaoping (1979), because the need for managers with specific knowledge in market economy was demanded for socialist market economy.

This timid open-door policy known as *gaige kaifang*, was gradually increased and accelerated in the next years. Especially after 2001, because China joined the World Trade Organization forcing the economy to be more flexible and competitive because of trade liberalization (Millman et al 2008).

In this new global business environment, the acquisition, utilisation and management of technology has assumed greater importance for countries, companies and individuals seeking to advance their competitive advantages in a global market place (Wong, 2008). However, during all the years before China did not find, that to mitigate the growing demand for knowledge workers (Millman et al 2008).

To satisfy this new demand the first MBA was created after a “secret visit” by the special envoy George Schultz in 1979, when an industrial technology cooperation agreement was signed to create the Chinese Industrial Technology Management Training Centre at the Dalian University of

Science and Technology (Wong, 2008). The European centre CEIBS would follow the external cooperations, having a pilot programme in Beijing from 1984 until 1994, when finally they opened a school in Shanghai ⁴ (Southworth, 1999).

Recognizing the rapidly need for Chinese managers with international business skills and knowledge, the People's Republic of China started their first own MBA programs at 1991 in nine business schools, growing to 26 in 1994 and finally offering programs in 62 universities in 2001 (Beamish et al 2005).

Actually, there is a mix of institutions which offers high business education such us private and public business schools or universities, specialized MBA programs and so on. However, there are still critics to China affirming that it still do not have the managerial, financial, academic and technical expertise to contribute to economic stabilisation and long term growth, nor to the development of an open and civic society (Wong, 2008).

The current challenge is to observe how the different educational actors configure this emergent market in China; also to check if their programs can really match with the socialist-market economy or must be adapted. A new paradigm of management can emerge in China in next years.

3 Westernization of business studies in China, some considerations in learning and teaching process

Ideas about management and organization represent particular types of knowledge even an ideology of business practice, which is institutionally structured. Management activity is embedded in historically shaped institutional and conceptual frameworks that circumscribe the formation and transfer of management strategies and organizational forms (Morgan, 2006).

Because under the Soviet Regime, government was in control of all aspects of economic and social activities, very little was left to individuals to decide (Fatehi et al 2009). The general communist orientation toward production and "order-giving", there has been little of the managerial authority or autonomy that characterizes Western management in the Central European nations (Waddock, 1997) and in China during its communist party period (Martinsons and Martinsons, 1996).

Concretely, in Chinese enterprise, the reason for its existence is to provide jobs for people and to generally benefit the community in which it is located (Newell, 1999). At socialist periods, they did not need trained people with western management knowledge, and at the same time the education was controlled by socialist governments constraining the offer (Morgan, 2006). Political

⁴ Another authors (Wang, 1999) considers the cooperation started in 1982 although they did not start the MBA program until 1984.

attitude of the government and its commercial as well as strategic ties with a given developed country often favour the importation of the business program from that nation (Fatehi et al 2009).

History and political regimes influence contents in teaching business, where could be a lack of tradition teaching or skilled professors, and poor interaction with students in subjects related to management, then the contents should be gradually and carefully adopted.

Furthermore, theories about both management and its practice differ significantly among cultures (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005; Tchäicha and Davis, 2005). Cultural values toward education in general as well as values toward business education in particular play a determining role (Fatehi et al 2009). Managers act on knowledge received from the business environment around them; their actions produce new knowledge about how to act in a cultural context (Morgan, 2006). When members of different groups meet, do cultural differences become apparent, because perceptions, value judgments or behaviour which are normal within one group maybe seen as abnormal in the context of another group (Glaum and Rinker, 2002).

Several authors had emphasized the different cultural values between China and U.S.A. societies (Graham and Lan, 2003; Newell, 1999; Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005). It is argument that the way to learn, differs broadly between both, and its study is important because of the imported management education from Western, especially from USA, to China (Thompson, 2000). Management knowledge is not a set of unproblematic tools and techniques, which can be adapted in any context, because national culture and societal context would limit the extent to which management knowledge would be transferable and applicable (Newell, 1999).

As it can be seen in the Figure II, main differences in basic cultural values and ways of thinking between American and Chinese have been describe. Graham and Lan (2003) suggests the more hierarchical and relationship orientation of Chinese over American people. This finding matches with the high power distance in Chinese national culture; where professors are saw as a figure that students must show their respect (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005).

Figure II. Basic cultural values and ways of thinking

American	Chinese
Individualist	Collectivist
Egalitarian	Hierarchical
Information oriented	Relationship oriented
Reductionism	Holistic
Sequential	Circular
Seeks the truth	Seeks the way
The argument culture	The haggling culture

Source: Graham and Lam, 2003

Confucianism has been influencing the ethical and moral foundation for Chinese business and social life, and profoundly influence Chinese thinking style (Martinsons and Martinsons, 1996). Confucianism shows respect for one's teachers and elders, so best students memorize and repeat professor's contents without questioning them, deriving in a normal learn-by-rote (Thompson, 2002). The former "command and control structure" in China did not stimulate the development of generic "soft" skills, and participation in decision making, so many students lacked abilities to think critically and imaginatively (Zhiwen et al, 2008). In fact, even Business Schools in China have realized that the conventional programs in management education are too theoretical and lack practical skills (Wang, 1999).

Old fashion methods as "chalk and talk" are used in China to transfer knowledge instead other methodologies where there is discussions as problem based methods in business studies (Yan and Ehrich, 2009). Chinese society is characterized by more reverential, less disputations, memory-oriented learning style (Thompson, 2002). Students used to the traditional rote style of learning common in China may not easily adapt to the problem-oriented, open styles common in American Business Schools (Parnell et al, 2003). Teaching methods are inappropriate, the traditional approach in China being non-interactive so teaching must therefore be contextualized (Soutworth, 1999).

The class discussions are difficult to manage, especially because of two reasons. First, the Chinese culture is eminent collective so the students have fear of making a mistake and keeps many students silent (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005). Second, because Confucianism promotes the modest behaviour, and individuals must learn how restrain themselves in order to maintain group harmony (Mortinsons and Mortinsons, 1996). Students avoid the possibility of losing face instead discussing something in critical way and even thinking in different way of the group. As other authors suggest (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005), it can be convenient to make small groups of students in class in order to avoid the censure of the total group.

Another possible obstacle to transfer knowledge can be the sequential and reductionism way to think of American people, compared with the circular and holistic of the Chinese (Graham and Lam, 2003). Chinese thinking is characterised by an epistemology that is biased towards dynamism and paradoxism instead the reductionism and determinism of USA culture (Newell, 1999). Chinese discuss all issues simultaneously in apparently haphazard order –emphasizing the whole package over details (Graham and Lam, 2003), however case studies sometimes rely on argumentative student input (Thompson, 2000).

It is proposed to find a way to develop better balanced teaching cases to emphasize a more holistic approach to management education in China (Coffey and Wang, 2006). Furthermore, students used to the traditional rote style of learning common in China may not easily adapt to the problem-oriented, open styles common in American business schools (Parnell et al, 2003). The use

of case studies from different countries will expose students to different business systems with varied practices in different places (Cheung, 1998). However, very few scholars have specifically investigated the impact of Asian learning traditions on management education (Thompson, 2002).

While some researchers (Wang, 1999) pointed that Chinese MBA programmes are encouraged to adopt international textbooks, and there is an urgent need to develop case materials from Chinese industrial organizational settings. More actual investigations suggest that the holistic approach to solve problems, long-term orientation, and consensus building role of leadership that are typical of traditional Chinese culture, have largely been replaced by an overly rationalistic, CEO-centered, strategy driven and shareholder wealth in the majority of the actual cases used in MBA programs in China (Liang and Lin, 2007). This situation could create organizational dysfunctions between the skills given and the socialist market needs.

There is another hot topic in Chinese education. In big cities like Hong-Kong or Shanghai, the education differs broadly from mainland China. This is true in terms of bigger studies offer, and also in some specific educational fields. Some authors (Thomson and Gui, 2000) considers Hong-Kong should be taken carefully when is taken as proxy of mainland China to make inferences about business studies. However, students from Hong-Kong and mainland look to have the same specific problems of low level of originality and limited training experiences (Martinsons and Martinsons, 1996).

Finally, it should be pay attention to the lack of textbooks translated into Chinese from English and their adaptation to the Chinese contexts. In case of textbooks in English, **students** take courses in China in their mother language and they can find difficulties to follow complete courses in the lingua franca, except Hong-Kong where English is an official language (Thomson and Gui, 2000).

4 Conclusions

Marxism influences predisposed strongly the History of Chinese business education. In one hand, contents and basis especially in some fields had different approach or did not exist. Socialism tried to make the economics as a science working for the best interests of society, instead enterprises maximizing their benefits. On the other hand, Marxism delayed the implementation of Westerner programs and methods in the teaching of business until the economic reforms guided by Den Xiaoping in 1979.

In China and Eastern Europe after socialist regimes, there has been an increasing interest in the internationalization of business school curricula (Glaum and Rinker, 2002). A transition from government controlled economic system to a free market model requires not only improvement in the general educational level, but more specifically business education borrowing models from the most successful economies like American or Western European practices (Fatehi et al 2009).

However, this rapid internationalization process raises the question of the effectiveness of cross-cultural education (Glaum and Rinker, 2002).

Eastern Europe, during its transition from socialist economy to market economy, found difficulties to apply these models such as lack of tradition without qualified professors or available materials in their mother languages. China, in spite of having similar experience, had and has to overcome other challenges that ex-socialist countries in Europe did not have. Some aspects where professors of business education should put more attention to implement contents are the next ones.

First, the imported models from Western to China could not really match with the socialist market economy because of the particularities of the latter. The legal and institutional framework where organizational ideas work can have different results using the same economic recipe or management tools. While ex-socialist countries jumped from socialist to market economy in Eastern Europe, the Chinese one jumped to a hybrid socialist-market economy. China's economy configures a new phenomenon to be analysed.

Second, China has much more different culture compared with Westerners. Most of international management models match with cultures which are not characterized by the Chinese one (Hofstede and Hofstede, 2005). Cultural aspects of Chinese population could influence strongly the application of those models, so is not so sure the models which are going to be used are useful in different cultural contexts.

Furthermore, this internationalization process is being really quick. Considering that Chinese have a specific cognitive learning process (compared with westerners) and differs in the way of thinking because Confucianism, then the contents should be adapted in different approach, or to gradually implement them in student's curriculum for better understanding.

Finally, countries of Eastern Europe and China should be carefully compared in spite of sharing the label of ex-socialist countries. Conclusions about Chinese education can vary at length. The lack of materials and access to business contents should be partially accepted in both. However is an error to consider China as a homogeneous analyse unit (Yan and Ehrich, 2009), to compare factors which are not scattered in uniform way along all the country. While some coast cities like Shanghai or old westerner prefectures like Guangzhou and Hong-Kong can access to materials in English easier and their population manage better this language, other dispersed country side locations do not have the same access to the same educational resources

5 References

Aggarwall, R. Petrovic, V. Ryans, J.K. Zong, S. (2008) "Outstanding International Business Research: Nature of the Best International Business Dissertations", *Multinational Business Review*, 16, 1.

- Alcalde-Fradejas, N. Ramírez-Alesón, M. Espitia-Escuer, M. (2003) “Una comparación internacional de los valores de convergencia del sector manufacturero”, *Información Comercial Española, ICE*, 809, pp. 209-220.
- Amdam, R.P. (2008) “The European perspective”, *Business History Review*, 82, 2.
- Anwar, S.T. (2010) “CFIUS, Chinese MNCs’ outward FDI and globalization of business”, *Journal of World Trade*, 44, 2, pp. 419-466.
- Beamish, P.W. Wong, G. Shoveller, J. (2005) “Business and management education in China”, *World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Singapore* pp. 195-203.
- Bettingies, H.C. Tan, C.K. (2007) “Values and management education in China”, *International Management Review*, 3, 1.
- Chang, L.C. (2002) “Cross-Cultural differences in international management using Kluckhohn-Strodtbeck framework”, *Journal of American Academy of Business*, 2, 1.
- Cheung, C.K. (1998) “Business education in Hong-Kong after 1997”, *Journal of Education for Business*, 73, 6.
- Chuang, T.T. Nakatani, K. Zhou, D. (2009) “An exploratory study of the extent of information technology adoption in SMEs: an application of upper echelon theory”, *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 22, 1/2, pp. 183-196.
- Coffey, B.S. Wang, J. (2006) “Service learning in a Master of Business Administration (MBA) integrative project course: an experience in China”, *Journal of Education for Business*, 82, 2.
- Crittenden, V.L. Hanna, R.C. Peterson, R.A. (2009) “Business students’ attitudes toward unethical behaviour: A multi-country comparison”, *Marketing Letters*, 20, pp. 1-14.
- Dore, R. (2006) “The important and the unimportant in business education”, *Asian Business & Management*, 5, pp. 9-21.
- Evans, F.J. Birch, N.J. (1995) “Business education and change in Russia and Eastern Europe”, *Journal of Education for Business*, 70, 3.
- Fatehi, K. Demeuova, G. Derakhshan, F. (2009) “Transitional economies of central Asia and business education”, *Competition Forum*, 7, 2.
- Fear, J. (2000) “Scholarly development trends and studies in central Europe”, *Business History Review*, 74, 4.
- Fukase, E. (2010) “Revisiting linkages between openness, education and economic growth: System GMM approach”, *Journal of Economic Integration*, 25, 1, pp. 194-223.
- Gao, F. Li, M. Nakamori, Y. (2002) “Systems thinking on knowledge and its management: systems methodology for knowledge management”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 6, 1.
- Glaum, M. Rinker, B. (2002) “Crossing borders in International Business Education: German and Polish Students at the European University Viadrina”, *Management International Review*, 42, 3.

- Graham, J.L. Lam, N.M. (2003) "The Chinese negotiation", Harvard Business Review.
- Hergert, M. (2009) "Students perception of the value of internships in business education", American Journal of Business Education, 2, 8.
- Hofstede, G. y Hofstede, G.J. (2005) "*Cultures and Organizations, software of the mind*", McGraw-Hill.
- Kashima, Y. (2005) "Is culture a problem for social psychology?", Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 8, pp. 19-38.
- Leven, B. (2010) "Poland's transition in business education", American Journal of Business Education, 3, 1.
- Lewis, J. (1992) "Student expectations on the Open Business School's MBA", Target Management Development Review, 5, 2.
- Liang, N. Lin, S. (2008) "Erroneous learning from the West? A Narrative analysis of Chinese MBA cases published in 1992, 1999 and 2003", Management International Review, 48, 5.
- Lin, J. (1999) "Social transformation and private education in China", Pabreger Publishers, United States,
- Locke, R.R. (2008) "Comparing the German and American systems", Business History Review, 82,2.
- Martinsons, M.G. Martinsons, A.B. (1996) "Conquering cultural constraints to cultivate Chinese management creativity and innovation", The Journal of Management Development, 15, 9.
- Matten, D. Moon, J. (2004) "Corporate Social Responsibility Education in Europe", Journal of Business Ethics, 54, pp.323-337.
- McMahon, W.W. (2007) "Analysis of education externalities with applications to development in the deep south", Contemporary Economic Policy, 25, 3.
- Mihalek, P. Rich, A. Speir, J. (2009) "Russian and United States Graduate Business Students Differ In Their Ethical Beliefs", The International Business & Economics Research Journal, 8, 10.
- Millman, C. Matlay, H. Liu, F. (2008) "Entrepreneurship education in China: a case study approach", Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 15, 4, pp. 802-815.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979) "The structuring of organizations: A synthesis of the research", Prentice-Hall, USA.
- Morgan, S.L. (2007) "Transfer of Taylorist ideas to China, 1910-1930s", Journal of Management History, 12, 4, pp. 408-424.
- Mullinga, C.B. Sala-I-Martin, X. (2000) "Measuring aggregate human capital", Journal of Economic Growth, 5, 215-252.
- Nelson, R.E. (1980) "Training needs of the small enterprise sector in Asian countries", Journal of Small Business Management, 18.

- Newell, S. (1999) "The transfer of management knowledge to China: building learning communities rather than translating Western textbooks?" *Education & Training*, 41, 6, 7.
- Nummela, N. Saarenketo, S. Puumalainen, K. "A Global Mindset – A Prerequisite for Successful Internationalization?" *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 21, 1.
- Onesimo, A. (2009) "Can culture explain economic growth? A note on the issues regarding culture-growth studies", *Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research*, 10, 3.
- Park, K. Vambery, R.G. (2010) "Value creation through international acquisitions in a world of one-way globalization: Toward a new paradigm", *The International Business and Economic Research Journal*, 9, 4.
- Parker, R.D. Heriot, K.C. "Internationalizing business curricula: introducing the study of Canada into international business programs", *Academy of Educational Leadership Journal*, 13, 3.
- Parnell, J.A. Shwiff, S. Yalin, L. Langford, H. (2003) "American and Chinese entrepreneurial and managerial orientations: a management education perspective", *International Journal of Management*, 20, 2.
- Pueyo-Balldellou, F. Sanso-Frago, M. (2005) "Technological change, human capital update and growth policies", *Spanish Economic Review*, 7, 23-41.
- Puig, N. (2008) "Business education in Spain", *Business History Review*, 82, 2.
- Ramírez-Alesón, M. (2004) "La importancia de los recursos intangibles en la internacionalización de la empresa", *Universia Business Review*, pp. 62-69.
- Rarick, C.A. (2009) "The historical roots of Chinese cultural values and managerial practices", *Journal of International Business Research*, 8, 2.
- Rasiah, R. Govindaraju, C. (2009) "University-Industry Collaboration in the Automotive, Biotechnology, and Electronics Firms in Malaysia" *Seoul Journal of Economics*, 22, 4.
- Sexty, R.W. (1998) "Teaching business ethics in transitional economics: avoiding ethical missionary", *Journal of Business Ethics*, 17, 12.
- Smith, B. (2009) "Ethical ideology and cultural orientation: Understanding the individualized ethical inclinations of marketing students", *American Journal of Business Education*, 2, 8.
- Southworth, D.B. (1999) "Building a business school in China: the case of China Europe international Business School (CEIBS)", *Education & Training*, 6, 7.
- Suzigan, W. Albuquerque, E. García, R. Rapini, M. (2009) "University and Industry Linkages in Brazil: Some Preliminary and Descriptive Results", *Seoul Journal of Economics*, 22, 4.
- Taylor, B. (2007) "The historical origins of American higher education for business", dissertation, Boston University.
- Tchaïcha, J.D. Davis, M.M. (2005) "The impact of culture on technology and business: an interdisciplinary, experiential course paradigm", *Journal of Management Education*, 29, 5.
- Thompson, E.R. (2000) "Are teaching cases appropriate in a mainland Chinese context? Evidence from Beijing MBA students", *Journal of Education for Business*, 76, 2.

- Thompson, E.R. (2002) "Chinese perspectives on the important aspects of an MBA teacher", *Journal of Management Education*, 26, 3.
- Thompson, E.R. Gui, Q. (2000) "The appropriateness of using Hong-Kong to make inferences about business students in mainland china", *Journal of Education for Business*, 76, 1.
- United Nations (2009) "Humand Development Report 2009", United Nations Development Program, New York, USA.
- Urgel, J. (2007) "EQUIS Accreditaion: value and benefits for international business schools" *Journal of Management Development*, 26, 1, pp. 73-83.
- Van der Ploeg, F. Veugelers, R. (2008) "Towards evidence-based reform European Universities", *CESifo Economic Studies*, 54, 2.
- Vermeulen, H.G. Shvyrkov, O. (2007) "Does top management team diversity promote or hamper foreign expansion?", *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, pp. 663-680.
- Waddock, S. (1997) "The emergence of management education in Central Europe", *Journal of Education for Business*, 72, 6.
- Wang, Z.M. (1999) "Current models and innovative strategies in management education in China", *Education & Training*, 41, 6, 7.
- Wong, L. (2008) "Managing for creativity: MBAs and the transfer of creativity?", *Chinese Management Studies*, 2, 2, pp. 122-141.
- World Economic Forum (2009) "*The Global Competitiveness Report 2009-2010*", World Economic Forum, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Yan, W. Ehrich, L.C. (2009) "Principal preparation and training: a look at China and its issues", *International Journal of Educational Management*, 23, 1, pp. 51-64.
- Zhang, J. Wu, F. Zhang, D, Wang, Y. (2009) "A study on labor mobility and human capital spillover", *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 1, 3, pp. 342-356.